

Message

From: Farak, Sonja (DPH) [/O=COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS/OU=MASSMAIL-01/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=SONJA.FARAK]
Sent: 12/30/2010 1:36:58 PM
To: Channing, James (WES) [/O=COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS/OU=MASSMAIL-01/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=JCHANNING]
Subject: RE: v. [REDACTED]

The selling of the marijuana makes sense to me, but I have another question about this. You said that [REDACTED] had additional marijuana on his person [REDACTED], but I have [REDACTED] being a residue in a broken glass tube, which turned out to be positive for cocaine, not marijuana. Is there another drug lab number that I am missing? Thanks.

-Sonja

From: Channing, James (WES)
Sent: Wednesday, December 29, 2010 3:01 PM
To: Farak, Sonja (DPH)
Subject: RE: v. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] is alleged to have sold the marijuana ([REDACTED]) to [REDACTED]. In addition, he had additional marijuana on his person ([REDACTED]). Sorry for any confusion.

Thanks!

From: Farak, Sonja (DPH)
Sent: Wednesday, December 29, 2010 7:53 AM
To: Channing, James (WES)
Subject: v. [REDACTED]
ADA Channing-

I'm just letting you know that I received your summons for the [REDACTED] case scheduled for trial on Jan. 26, 2011 and that I am available that day. However, the Drug Lab number listed on the summons ([REDACTED]) appears to be for a different defendant [REDACTED]. I did notice that [REDACTED] is listed as the defendant for [REDACTED] – is that the correct drug lab number? Thanks.

-Sonja

Sonja Farak
Drug Analysis Lab